

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/517,883	12/29/2005	Michael Tittmann	502901-338PUS	1374		
27799 COHEN PON	7590 04/01/201 TTANI, LIEBERMAN &	EXAM	EXAMINER			
551 FIFTH AVENUE			CHAUDR	CHAUDRY, ATIF H		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK.	NY 10176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		3753				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/01/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,883	TITTMANN, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ATIF H. CHAUDRY	3753		

	ATIF H. CHAUDRY	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed wit	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to place the application in better appears.			he issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number or finally reje	cted claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the			
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Robin O. Evans/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3753	/Atif H Chaudry/ Examiner Art Unit 3753					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the claims recite the jet pumps performing level-balancing of surge chambers is not persuasive since the claims does not explicitly recite continuous running of jet pumps in state of imbalance.

Applicant's argument that Sinz and Coha fail to provide level-balancing is not persuasive since Sinz discloses the fuel jet pumps at a minimum designated height in the tanks and it have been obvous that when placed inside the surge tanks touction inlet of the jet pumps would be at a designated minimum height in the surge tanks. Furthermore, the jet pumps would inherently stop pumping fluid when the filling level floros below the suction inlet of the jet pump.